



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Perivascular mesenchymal stem cells in sheep

Citation for published version:

Hindle, P, Baily, J, Khan, N, Biant, LC, Simpson, AHR & Péault, B 2016, 'Perivascular mesenchymal stem cells in sheep: characterisation and autologous transplantation in a model of articular cartilage repair', *Stem Cells and Development*, vol. 25, no. 21. <https://doi.org/10.1089/scd.2016.0165>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1089/scd.2016.0165](https://doi.org/10.1089/scd.2016.0165)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Stem Cells and Development

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the final peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Title: Perivascular mesenchymal stem cells in sheep: characterisation and autologous transplantation in a model of articular cartilage repair

Authors: Paul Hindle^{1,2}, James Baily¹, Nusrat Khan¹, Leela C Biant², A Hamish R Simpson² Bruno Péault^{1,3}

Institutions:

¹MRC Centre for Regenerative Medicine, The University of Edinburgh, UK

²Department of Trauma and Orthopaedic Surgery, The Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, UK

³The University of California in Los Angeles, USA

Running Title: Ovine perivascular stem cell model

Corresponding Author Information:

Dr. Paul Hindle MBChB PhD MRCS(Eng) RAF
c/o Professor Péault's secretary, MRC Centre for Regenerative Medicine, The
University of Edinburgh, 5 Little France Drive, Edinburgh, EH16 4UU, The United
Kingdom
paulhindle@me.com

Abstract

Previous research has indicated that purified perivascular stem cells (PSCs) have increased chondrogenic potential compared to conventional mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) derived in culture. This study aimed to develop an autologous large animal model for perivascular stem cell transplantation, and to specifically determine if implanted cells are retained in articular cartilage defects.

Immunohistochemistry and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) were used to ascertain the reactivity of anti-human and anti-ovine antibodies, which were combined and used to identify and isolate pericytes (CD34-CD45-CD146+) and adventitial cells (CD34+CD45-CD146-). The purified cells demonstrated osteogenic, adipogenic and chondrogenic potential in culture.

Autologous ovine PSCs (oPSCs) were isolated, cultured and efficiently transfected using a GFP encoding lentivirus. The cells were implanted into articular cartilage defects on the medial femoral condyle using a hydrogel and collagen membranes. Four weeks following implantation the condyle was explanted and confocal laser scanning microscopy demonstrated the presence of oPSCs in the defect repaired with the hydrogel.

These data suggest the testability in a large animal of native mesenchymal stem cell autologous grafting, thus avoiding possible biases associated with xenotransplantation. Such a setting will be used in priority for indications in orthopaedics, at first to model articular cartilage repair.

Introduction

Perivascular stem cells (PSCs) have been identified as the natural ancestors of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), and are the *in vivo* cells responsible for tissue homeostasis and repair[1,2]. They have demonstrated increased osteogenic potential compared to unpurified cells[3] and our research has indicated increased chondrogenic potential compared to culture-derived MSCs[4]. They have also demonstrated the ability to form skeletal muscle[5], lung[6] and myocardium[1]. Perivascular stem cells can be isolated using specific cell surface markers. In previous research pericytes have been isolated based on their expression of CD146[1,7]. Pericytes have also been shown to express PDGFR β [8,9]. Adventitial cells are isolated based on their expression of CD34. Neither express either endothelial or haematopoietic markers.

To ascertain how well particular cells perform *in vivo* prior to human clinical trials, the use of animal models is required[10-12]. These models allow the efficacy and safety of the proposed methods to be tested in a biological and mechanical environment similar to those that would be encountered in clinical practice.

The International Cartilage Repair Society recommends that whilst small animal models are useful for initial studies and proof of concept work, large animal studies are required for pivotal studies[12]. A canine model of perivascular stem cells has previously been developed and used at the University of California in Los Angeles (R Hardy, X Zhang, P Liang, J Kwak, E Ting, C Soo, B Péault, unpublished). The use of canines in animal research in the United Kingdom is socially unacceptable and unlikely to be approved by the Home Office due to their status as companion animals.

Sheep have successfully been used for implantation of autologous chondrocytes on a collagen matrix[13] and for chondrocytes and MSCs embedded in gels[10-12,14-16]. Godoy et al (2014) used sheep to compare stem cells from bone marrow, adipose tissue and synovium[17]. Their research indicated that MSCs could be harvested from each of these sources and that MSCs from each source shared the ability to form bone, cartilage and fat as well as sharing a similar immunophenotype based on expression of the marker CD44. These data demonstrated the feasibility of obtaining ovine stem cells.

Whilst pericytes have been identified in the ovine corpus luteum, their perivascular location has not been confirmed in multiple organs as they have in human tissues[18,19]. There have been no reports identifying the role of adventitial cells as a source of stem cells in the ovine model. Neither cell type has been identified using cell surface antigens in a similar manner to human tissue nor have they been isolated using antibody labeling and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Without using similar methods used for human PSCs it is harder to state that the ovine PSCs are truly analogous and therefore an equivalent model.

The ovine stifle joint has been described as a surgical model to represent the human knee joint[20]. The anatomy of the ovine stifle joint has been well described and is considered a reasonable model of the human knee joint[20,21]. It has been used to investigate articular cartilage repair as well as anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction[22] and meniscal repair[23] and replacement[24].

Caplan et al (2011) proposed the idea that MSCs work using a paracrine effect, essentially acting as a ‘drug store’ to aid in tissue regeneration[25]. It is implied that the basis of cell-based repairs must be the cells that are introduced into the defects. There are very few studies that have labeled and tracked the cells that have been placed into articular cartilage defects, raising the question of the fate of the implanted cells. Proposed cell tracking methods include PKH26[26], iron oxide nanoparticles[27], DiI and transfection using green fluorescence protein (GFP)[28].

Nakamura et al (2012) used GFP emission to ascertain that injected cells stayed in place following insertion, their DiI labeled cells remained in the defect at 7 days but were not seen at 1 or 3 months[28]. Miot et al (2010) implanted GFP labeled human chondrocytes into goats on a Chondro-Gide membrane and found the cells in the defect after four weeks[29].

The primary aim of this research was to develop a large animal model of autologous perivascular stem cells that could be used to translate laboratory based research to human clinical trials. The secondary aim was to test the model for articular cartilage repair and to determine if cells were engrafted by surgical implantation.

Materials and methods

Ethical approvals

All animal research was conducted in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 under Protocol 15 of project licence PPL 60/4052. All of the experimental protocols were reviewed by the University of Edinburgh's Named Veterinary Surgeons.

Tissue harvest and cell isolation

Cadaveric tissue was obtained for use for the identification, isolation and characterization of ovine PSCs prior to conducting the animal surgeries. Cadaveric tissue was harvested within 1hr of sacrifice and transported to the laboratory in transport media (Dulbeccos' Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) / 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) / 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (PS)).

Tissue samples were mechanically disrupted to ensure they were less than 1mm³ and were covered with digestion medium (DMEM / 10% FBS, 1% PS and 0.5% collagenases I, II and IV). The tissue was digested in a shaking water bath (37°C, 150 rpm) for 50min. The digested suspension was centrifuged at 1,800rpm for 10min. The pellets were re-suspended in 25ml 2% FBS / PBS (5mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)). The tissue suspensions were successively filtered through a 200µm nylon mesh and then 100, 70 and 40µm cell strainers. The strained suspensions were centrifuged at 1,500rpm for 10min. The supernatant was aspirated and discarded and the pellets re-suspended in 1ml 20% FBS / DMEM / 1% PS.

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Tissue samples were resected so that they were less than 2cm³ to ensure rapid and complete fixation in 4% formalin. Fixed tissue was placed in Tissue-Tek[®] cassettes (Sakura Finetek) and processed using a Leica ASP processor using sequential alcohol, xylene and paraffin wax steps. Tissue was subsequently embedded into molten wax, allowed to solidify on a cold plate and sectioned 7µm thick. Sections were incubated at 55°C over night, de-waxed(xylene 5min three times) and rehydrated(100% ethanol 2min three times, 95, 80 and 50% ethanol 2min each and then washed under running water). Slides were stained as per the sections below and then dehydrated (50, 80 and 95% ethanol for 30s each and 100% ethanol for 2min three times) and mounted using xylene.

Sections were incubated in Harris haematoxylin(Sigma-Aldrich) for 5min and then washed under running water. They were differentiated in 1% hydrochloric acid in ethanol and transferred to a dish of Scott's tap water substitute for 2min until the tissue sections turned blue. Slides were counter-stained in eosin for 2min and were washed briefly under running water.

Slides were brought to room temperature (RT) and fresh frozen sections fixed in an ice-cold bath of methanol and acetone (50:50) for 10min. Slides were air dried for 5min at RT prior to being washed (each washing step consisted of three 5min washes at 50rpm on a rotating platform, once with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) / Tween (0.5mL/L Tween 20 pH 7.4) and twice with PBS alone). Slides were incubated with protein block (DAKO) for 1hr at RT and then washed. Slides were incubated with avidin D for 15min followed by a PBS wash followed by incubation with biotin for 15min followed by a further PBS wash. Sections were incubated with the primary antibody in antibody diluent (DAKO) overnight at 4°C. The following day sections were washed and incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody against the host species of the primary antibody diluted 1:1000 in antibody diluent (DAKO) for 1hr at RT. Sections were incubated with streptavidin-AF488 or streptavidin-AF555 (Invitrogen) diluted 1:1000 in antibody diluent for 1h at RT. The sections were washed for a final time and mounted with a single drop of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) mounting medium and then cover slipped. Stained sections were stored at 4°C in the dark until imaged.

For multiple stains, primary antibodies raised in different species were used where possible. The primary and secondary antibodies were incubated at the same time (i.e. primary mouse anti-human and rabbit anti-human with secondary goat anti-mouse and goat anti-rabbit).

Histochemical staining was imaged using a bright-field setting and colour camera with a Zeiss Observer Microscope. An Olympus BX61 fluorescence microscope was used to sequentially record the emission from the individual fluorophores used for immunohistochemistry, these were subsequently merged to form a composite image. Controls using isotypes were imaged under identical conditions.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting

The cells were blocked in 10% mouse serum in PBS and left at RT for 20 min, 100µl for analysis and 500µl for cell sorting. Antibodies were added to the cell suspension in the dark at a dilution of 1:100 unless otherwise stated. All antibodies were tested using the stromal vascular fraction (SVF) from multiple tissues; all samples were compared to their corresponding isotype except the anti-VWF-FITC, which used an unstained sample as its negative control.

The cells were incubated for 20min on ice in the dark. The cells were washed in 2ml of PBS and centrifuged at 1,000rpm for 5min. The supernatant was aspirated and discarded and the pellet re-suspended in 2% FBS/PBS, 300µl for analysis and 500µl for cell sorts.

For each antibody a compensation tube was set up using a single drop of positive compensation beads(BD Biosciences) diluted with 70µl 2% FBS/PBS and stained in an identical manner to the cells. These were re-suspended in a final volume of 270µl and a drop of negative control beads added just prior to FACS analysis or sorting. Gates were set using negative controls to determine the level of true-positive staining.

Cell Culture

FACS sorted cells were collected in 300µl endothelial cell growth medium(EGM-2, Lonza) as pericytes(CD34-CD45-CD146+) and adventitial cells(CD34+CD45-CD146-). Wells and flasks were pre-coated with 0.2%(w/v) gelatin(Calbiochem) for 10 min at 4°C. Cells were plated at a density of approximately $4 \times 10^4/\text{cm}^2$ in EGM-2 and cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The EGM-2 medium was changed after 7 days and then every 4 days after that until the cells reached 90-100% confluency. From passage 1(P1) onwards all cells were cultured in DMEM / 20% FBS / 1% PS. Cells were washed twice in PBS and then incubated in 0.05% trypsin-EDTA at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 3 to 5min until >90% of the cells were mobilised. Complete medium was added to the plate / flask and the cell suspension transferred to a 15ml centrifuge tube. The cells were pelleted by centrifuging at 1,000rpm for 5min. The supernatant was aspirated and discarded and the pellet re-suspended for further culture expansion, differentiation or flow cytometry. Freshly isolated SVF was used for identifying the reactivity of FACS antibodies, the cell characterization and differentiation was undertaken with passage 6 cells.

Immunocytochemistry

For immunocytochemistry the PBS was removed and the cells permeabilised with 0.05% Triton-PBS for 3min at room RT. The cells were washed three times with PBS and then blocked with Protein Block(Dako) for 1h at RT. Cells were washed in PBS and then incubated with the primary antibody overnight at 4°C. The following morning the wells were washed three times for 5min, once with PBS-Tween and twice w

ith PBS. Cells were incubated with the secondary antibody for 1h at RT in the dark. After a further three washes the cover slips were removed and any excess fluid removed. The cover slips were mounted onto slides using DAPI fluoromount and allowed to air dry for 1hr in the dark before being fixed in place with an adhesive.

Mesenchymal differentiation and functional histochemical staining

Monolayer differentiation was undertaken using ten wells of a 24-well plate, five wells were used for growth medium(DMEM / 10% FBS / 1% PS) and five for differentiation medium(HyClone AdvanceSTEM osteogenic and adipogenic media, GE Life Sciences). The cells were diluted to a concentration of 2×10^4 /ml and 1ml added to each well. Plates were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ until they were 50-70% confluent at which point they were considered to be at day 0 of the differentiation course. Plates were taken at day 0 as controls. The medium was removed from those plates undergoing differentiation and replaced with 1ml of either growth(DMEM / 10% FBS / 1% PS) or differentiation medium. The media were changed twice weekly for 21 days.

Three-dimensional pellet culture was used for chondrogenic differentiation. After cell counting, the cells were re-suspended in either chondrogenic medium(HyClone AdvanceSTEM, GE Life Sciences) or DMEM / 10% FBS / 1% PS at a concentration of 6×10^5 cells/ml and 500µl pipetted into an individual well of a 96-well v-bottom plate. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 800rpm for 5min followed by incubation at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Three pellets were used for growth medium controls and three for differentiation medium. The medium was changed twice weekly by centrifuging the plates at 800rpm for 5min, the medium was aspirated, discarded and

replaced with fresh medium. After medium changes the pellets were gently agitated to ensure that they were not adherent.

Osteogenic differentiation was determined by using Alizarin red to form a bi-refrangent complex with calcium deposits. Alizarin red solution was made by adding 2g alizarin red S(C.I. 58005) to 100mL dH₂O. This was thoroughly mixed and the pH altered to 4.1-4.3 with 10% ammonium hydroxide. The wells were stained with 1ml Alizarin red solution for approximately 30min at RT to produce red-orange staining with calcium. Excess stain was removed by washing four times with dH₂O.

Adipogenic differentiation was assessed using Oil Red O. A stock solution was prepared by mixing 0.7g Oil Red O(Sigma O-062) with 200ml isopropanol. This was stirred overnight and then passed through a 0.2µm filter. The stock solution was stored at 4°C. The working solution was made by adding six parts stock solution to four parts distilled water(dH₂O). This was mixed and left at RT for 20min before being passed through a 0.2µm filter. The wells were washed twice with dH₂O and then dehydrated with 60% isopropanol for 5min at RT. The isopropanol was removed and the wells dried without washing. The cells were stained with 1ml Oil Red O solution for 10min at RT. Excess stain was removed by washing four times with dH₂O.

Chondrogenic differentiation was indicated by Alcian blue staining for proteoglycans. Slides or wells were incubated with acetic acid for 3min at RT followed by Alcian blue for 30min at RT. Excess stain was removed using acetic acid and the slides were washed three times with dH₂O.

Animal surgery

Sheep were induced with propofol (5-6mg/kg) and maintained on 2-3% isoflurane (Abbot Laboratories Ltd.). Velergesic (Buprenorphine, Reckett Benckiser Healthcare Ltd.) was used for post-operative analgesia (14µg/kg) for 3-4 days. Streptacare (Procaine Penicillin, 20% Dihydrostreptomycin, Animalcare Ltd) was given as prophylactic antibiotics (1ml/25kg) for 5-7 days.

The sheep were placed in the supine position, for unilateral surgery the contra-lateral limb was secured away from the surgical field. The wool was sheared and the skin prepared with a Betadine / alcohol solution. The hoof was placed in a sterile bag and the limb draped.

The stifle joints were opened using a midline incision followed by a medial parapatellar arthrotomy. The infra-patellar fat pad (IFP) was excised with a scalpel and placed in DMEM / 10% FBS / 1% PS for transport to the laboratory. Thorough haemostasis was carried out using bipolar diathermy. The medial femoral condyle (MFC) was exposed by flexion of the stifle joint and a circular lesion made in the cartilage using a punch biopsy. The cartilage was then excised using a 4mm ring curette.

The arthrotomy was closed with a continuous 1 Vicryl suture and the subcutaneous fat with a running 2/0 Vicryl suture. The skin was closed with deep dermal and subcuticular 2/0 Vicryl sutures. The wound was cleaned with Betadine spray and left without a dressing.

Lentivirus transfection

An emerald Green Fluorescent Protein (eGFP) lentivirus under the control of a cytomegalovirus promoter was used. The virus was stored at -80°C and the final virus titre was calculated to be 4.35×10^6 infectious units/ μ l. Perivascular stem cells were transfected at approximately 80% confluence. The culture medium was aspirated and discarded and the cells washed twice in PBS. Serum-free medium (5ml) was mixed with 1 μ l virus for every $0.8\text{--}1.0 \times 10^6$ cells giving a multiplicity of infection of approximately five. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 4-5hrs. DMEM supplemented with 20% FBS / 1% PS (5ml) was added and the cells incubated over night. The following morning the cells were washed twice in PBS and the medium replaced.

Cell loading

The cell-loaded collagen membranes were prepared using two separate methods to duplicate techniques currently used in clinical practice. In both techniques cells were grown *ex vivo*, trypsinised, pelleted and re-suspended in 1ml DMEM for counting.

The first method mimicked Genzyme's method of delivering the cells for surgery pre-seeded on the membrane. This was done by pipetting the cells onto the ChondroGide membrane at a density of 1×10^6 cells per cm^2 . The membranes were left to incubate in a 12-well plate for 4h prior to adding additional culture media. The cell membranes were left for 48-72h prior to re-insertion. During surgery the cartilage defect was

debrided back to a stable rim and a template made to fill the defect. The membrane was then cut to shape and glued into place using Tisseel fibrin glue (Baxter).

The second method was based on TiGenix's ChondroCelect procedure. Cells were transported to surgery in 100µl phenol-free DMEM as this is used as the transport medium for Matrix-assisted chondrocyte implantation (MACI) membranes provided by Genzyme. The articular cartilage defect was debrided back to a stable rim, and the membrane cut to fit the defect. Cells were then pipetted onto the membrane at an approximate density of 1×10^6 cells per cm^2 . The membrane was then placed into the defect and the edges sealed with Tisseel fibrin glue.

In situ crosslinking of cells encapsulated in a hydrogel was performed using HyStem®-C Hydrogel Kit (Esi Bio, GS313) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Approximately 1×10^6 cells were re-suspended in 100µl inactivated hydrogel, during surgery the hydrogel the cross-linker was added. Once the viscosity was high enough to allow the hydrogel to remain in the defect it was pipetted in slowly and left for at least 30min to cross-link *in situ*.

Ex vivo cell tracking

A Zeiss Axioskop 510 (Carl Zeiss Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK) Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (CLSM) was used to image cell cytoplasm (5-chloromethylfluorescein diacetate (CMFDA)) of viable cells and the cell nuclei of non-viable cells (Propidium iodide (PI)) on MACI membranes. The x10 objective was used to obtain images for cell counting and the x63 objective for higher magnification to observe cell phenotype. The CMFDA was excited using an Argon laser at 488nm and the emission detected using a bandpass filter at 525/50nm. Propidium iodide was excited using a helium-neon laser at 543nm and detected with a long-pass filter at >560nm. An average of two readings was used for each pixel to reduce background signal. The gain and amplifier settings were adjusted to allow the maximal use of the detectable range. Axial images were collected throughout the entire fluorescently visible z-axis at intervals of 5.7µm for the x10 objective and 0.75µm for the x63 objective.

eGFP transfected cells were imaged using the same acquisition protocol used for CMFDA with excitation at 488nm and a peak emission at 507nm (525/50nm bandpass filter). For the hydrogel encapsulated cells optical sections were taken

at 5.7 μ m throughout the z-axis. The implanted cells were identified using the x10 objective and imaged using optical slices every 5.7 μ m. Higher magnification images acquired optical sections 0.75 μ m apart.

Results

Histology and immunohistochemistry of multiple ovine tissues

Histology of ovine adipose sections demonstrated the same microscopic structure as human tissue with the majority of the tissue being pale where the processing has removed the adipose tissue leaving a mesh of cell membranes interspersed with blood vessels of varying calibers, from capillaries to arterioles (Figure 1A). Sections from skeletal muscle had blood vessels running in between the myofibres (Figure 1B). A section taken through the synovial border of the infra-patellar fat pad demonstrated a large concentration of cells and blood vessels adjacent to the synovium with adipose tissue lying underneath (Figure 1C) with the same structure as subcutaneous fat (Figure 1A).

Anti-human CD146 antibodies positively identified small caliber blood vessels in multiple tissues. Sections from placenta and myocardium are shown in Figure 1D and E respectively. An anti-ovine CD34 antibody was confirmed to stain in a perivascular location (Figure 1F) and this was confirmed to share a perivascular location with CD146 (Figures 1G and I). Isotype controls were used for all sections to determine the presence of any false-positive staining; the isotype control for the dual stains in Figures 1G and I is shown in Figure 1H. Multiple anti-human and anti-ovine CD31 antibodies were used to try and identify an endothelial marker but no positive staining was seen compared to isotype controls (data not shown).

Reactivity and cross-reactivity of antibodies to identify ovine perivascular stem cells

Flow cytometry antibodies raised against human and ovine tissues were tested for their cross-reactivity and reactivity with freshly isolated ovine stromal vascular fraction (Table 1). The majority of the antibodies did not demonstrate a positive signal with ovine cells, including the anti-ovine anti-CD31 antibody (data not shown). Figure 2 shows the results for the anti-human CD146 antibody as well as the anti-ovine CD34, CD45 and PDGFR- β (platelet-derived growth factor) antibodies. Whilst there was not a large peak when the staining with the anti-human CD146 antibody and isotype were overlaid (Figure 2A, left-hand plot) the density plots clearly

identified a population of CD146+ cells when compared to the isotype control. Similar results were seen for the anti-CD34 and anti-PDGFR- β antibodies. Only the anti-CD45 antibody demonstrated a large peak when the histograms were overlaid. These data meant that ovine perivascular stem cells could be identified and haematopoietic stem cells excluded.

Ovine SVF was stained with anti-human CD146 as well as anti-ovine CD34 and CD45 antibodies with DAPI used to exclude dead cells (Figure 3A). Full minus one controls were used to determine the level of true-staining (Figure 3A - bottom middle and right plots). Distinct cell populations were identified as pericytes (CD45-CD146+CD34-) and adventitial cells (CD45-CD146-CD34+) in the bottom left plot in Figure 3A. Ovine pericytes and adventitial cells collected using FACS were re-analysed immediately after the cell sort. The pericytes are shown in the upper plots in Figure 3B and demonstrate that 84% of the re-analysed events were the same cell size and 100% of these events were CD146+ indicating a pure cell sort. Over 90% of the adventitial cells were in the cell selection gate with 100% of these cells being CD34+. The events that were outside of the cell selection gate are likely to represent debris from non-viable cells. It was impossible to determine the true level of cell death as one cell would lead to multiple pieces of debris in an unpredictable manner.

As the articular cartilage repair model was to use the infra-patellar fat pad the variability in the number of pericytes that could be obtained was investigated using the infra-patellar fat from four separate stifle joints (two animals). The FACS plots used to select the pericytes and adventitial cells are shown in Figure 3C. Whilst there was variability in the levels of staining, pericytes and adventitial cells were identified and isolated from each of the specimens.

In vitro characterization of ovine perivascular stem cells including mesenchymal differentiation

Ovine pericytes and adventitial cells in monolayer culture are shown in Figures 4A and B respectively. Pericytes from ovine bone marrow were analysed using FACS and were CD34-CD45-CD146+. The histogram in Figure 4C for the anti-human CD146 antibody demonstrated a large CD146+ population (red) with clear staining compared to the isotype control (blue). Cultured ovine pericytes from the infra-

patellar fat pad did not demonstrate CD146 staining on FACS but did with immunocytochemistry compared to controls (Figures D and E).

Ovine pericytes and adventitial cells both demonstrated the ability of osteogenic, adipogenic and chondrogenic differentiation; the differentiation of ovine pericytes is shown in Figure 4. After 21 days in differentiation media the pericytes stained positively for alizarin red (calcium deposition with osteogenic medium, Figure 4G), Oil Red O (intracellular fat vacuoles, adipogenic medium, Figure 4I) and Alcian blue (proteoglycans with chondrogenic medium Figure 4J and L). Each of the stains was positive compared to cells from the growth medium controls (Figures 4F, H, I and K).

Autologous ovine perivascular stem cells remain in situ following insertion using a hydrogel

Ovine adventitial cells transfected with an emerald GFP virus demonstrated some positive signal on day 1 post transfection (Figure 5A), which appeared significantly increased by day 6 post transfection. An aliquot of the transfected cells was analysed using flow cytometry and demonstrated an 88% transfection rate compared to non-transfected cells at day 6.

Non-transfected cells were loaded onto a collagen VIII membrane and stained with CMFDA and PI. These cells were then imaged to determine that the loading protocol worked and that there were viable cells attached to the membrane prior to surgical implantation. Figures D and E show the cells attached to the membrane and demonstrate that almost all of the attached cells were viable. Transfected cells were successfully loaded into a hyaluronic acid based hydrogel at concentrations from $1-20 \times 10^4$ cells/ μ l. Figure 5F is an extended focus view and demonstrates that the cells were not over-crowded. Figure 5G shows the GFP emitting virus in a single cell staining the cytoplasm clearly.

Four weeks following surgical implantation the sheep were sacrificed and the stifle joints excised. The cartilage did not receive any fluorescent staining and confocal laser scanning microscopy was able to find GFP emitting cells in the base of the defect treated with the cell-loaded hydrogel (Figure 5H). A single cell with-processes that did not look like a differentiated chondrocyte is shown at higher magnification in Figure 5I. No GFP emitting cells were found at the base of the defect treated with the cell-loaded collagen membranes. Cross sections of both defects stained with Masson's

trichrome did not demonstrate any repair tissue either with or without the traced perivascular stem cells.

Discussion

This work has successfully managed to identify and prospectively identify ovine perivascular stem cells using a similar set of perivascular markers to those used in humans[1,30].

As there was a lack of anti-vine specific antibodies available to identify and isolate ovine PSCs the main challenge in developing an autologous model was the identification of antibodies to accurately select perivascular stem cells, this included determining if antibodies raised against another species (such as anti-human antibodies) would cross react appropriately with ovine cells. Immunohistochemistry demonstrated that anti-human CD146 antibodies cross-reacted with ovine tissue and that the antigens had a perivascular location (Figure 1D-I). The cross-reactivity of the CD146 antibody was validated further when the cultured pericytes from bone marrow were analysed with flow cytometry (Figure 3C) and immunocytochemistry (Figure 3D-E). An ovine specific antibody was required to identify CD34 accurately[31]. Despite there being antibodies for ovine endothelial cell markers this research was unable to show that the anti-CD31 antibody worked. The anti-CD31 antibody was described by Pintado et al (1995)[32], who did not describe the use of any negative controls in their work; the fluorescence reported as representing a positive signal could therefore just represent increased voltage or auto-fluorescence. Zannettino et al (2010) used the same anti-ovine CD31 antibody in their paper comparing human and ovine MSCs[33]. All of their results were negative and no positive controls were used to demonstrate that the antibody worked. Their negative results could be true-negatives but without positive controls it could just represent the lack of antibody reactivity.

The lack of an endothelial marker in the antibody panel used to sort oPSCs meant that endothelial cells would also have been collected. This was a particular problem for adventitial cells: our previous data demonstrated that anti-CD34 antibodies stained a proportion of endothelial cells as well (unpublished data, Hindle et al). Despite PDGFR β not being used commonly as a marker to FACS sort human PSCs, this research suggests that it could be a useful marker for the

isolation of ovine PSCs. All of the CD146+ cells in one sort were PDGFR β +. The ovine antibody was able to identify a larger number of ovine PSCs than the anti-human CD146 antibody. These cells would need to be isolated and compared to their human counterparts before using them further. If the anti-ovine PDGFR β antibody were used it would mean that the entire antibody panel would have been raised specifically to react with ovine cells.

Ovine PSCs were isolated from bone marrow, subcutaneous fat and the infra-patellar fat pad. These data demonstrated that these were all feasible cell sources for future experiments. Ovine pericytes and adventitial cells demonstrated mesenchymal potential with osteogenic, chondrogenic and adipogenic differentiation. The cultured pericytes from bone marrow expressed CD146 and the ones from the infra-patellar fat pad did not, this was the same as the pericytes from the human IFPs[4].

In this manuscript we have concentrated on the use of CD146 and CD34 as markers to identify perivascular stem cells as this is directly comparable to the methods used to isolate human PSCs that have demonstrated improved osteogenic and chondrogenic potential compared to unsorted cells and MSCs[3,4]. CD146 has also been indicated as a marker for a sub-population of chondroprogenitor cells in osteoarthritis[34] and CD146+ cells have demonstrated increased therapeutic potential compared to CD146- cells in a model of murine arthritis[35]. Other authors have investigated the use of different markers including Grem1+ and LepR+ cells[36,37]. There is currently a lack of comparative data on these subsets of cell populations.

Finally, and importantly, our data demonstrated that the ovine model for perivascular stem cells can be used for autologous articular cartilage repair. Ovine pericytes and adventitial cells were isolated from the IFP; no problems were encountered with cell isolation or proliferation.

Insertion methods mimicking both of the commercially available MACI and ChondroCelect products were used. CLSM confirmed attachment in the MACI group with good cell viability (Figure 5D-E). No difference was noted with surgical preparation time or insertion. As the membrane was dry and stiff prior to applying the cells with the ChondroCelect method the membrane was easier to cut to shape. The

Unknown

Field Code Changed

Paul Hindle 23/8/2016 16:27

Formatted: Font:Not Italic

other insertion technique was using a hydrogel that cross linked *in situ* that had previously been described by Liu et al (2006) for osteochondral defects[38].

Hydrogels based on hyaluronic acid and collagen I have been used in rabbits[38] and sheep[15] to deliver bone marrow derived MSCs. The hydrogel was easy to use and was able to insert a greater number of cells than the collagen membrane. The main challenge using the hydrogel was judging the viscosity so that the hydrogel remained in the defect when inserted but was not too viscous that it could not be inserted. The 8mm circular defects used had a surface area of 50mm², as the density of the cells on the collagen membrane was approximately 1x10⁶ cells/cm² this meant that approximately 5x10⁵ cells would be inserted when the collagen membranes were used. The sheep's cartilage was approximately 1mm thick to the calcified cartilage, giving a defect volume of 50mm³. The cells in the hydrogel were loaded at 20,000 cells/μl. This means about 1x10⁶ cells were inserted using the hydrogel. There was even potential to load more cells if research found this to be beneficial, this extra capacity was not demonstrated with the collagen membrane as the cells on a MACI membrane are in a monolayer and already occupy all of the available space[39].

Implanted cells were successfully tracked *ex vivo* using the GFP virus and CLSM. GFP emitting cells were identified at the base of the articular cartilage defect four weeks after insertion of the hydrogel (Figure 5H-I) despite no repair tissue being demonstrated on histology (Figure 5J). The high magnification image of the single GFP emitting cell did not have a rounded appearance of a chondrocyte. This might be due to stem cells acting more as trophic mediators[40] rather than engraftment and differentiation or just that four weeks is an early time point for seeing articular cartilage repair.

In conclusion our data have demonstrated that there is now an autologous large animal model for furthering research into perivascular stem cells. The ovine model is more likely to be socially acceptable than the current canine model. Whilst we have demonstrated the models use in articular cartilage repair this model could be used for tissue engineering and regeneration across multiple organs.

Acknowledgements: This work was made possible by grants from the University of

Edinburgh and Tenovus Scotland

Authors Disclosure Statement: None of the authors have any commercial associations that might create a conflict of interest.

References

1. Crisan M, S Yap, L Casteilla, C-W Chen, M Corselli, TS Park, G Andriolo, B Sun, B Zheng, L Zhang, C Norotte, P-N Teng, J Traas, R Schugar, BM Deasy, S Badylak, H-J Buhring, J-P Giacobino, L Lazzari, J Huard and B Péault (2008). A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. *Cell Stem Cell* 3: 301–313.
2. Zhao H, J Feng, K Seidel, S Shi, O Klein, P Sharpe and Y Chai (2014). Secretion of shh by a neurovascular bundle niche supports mesenchymal stem cell homeostasis in the adult mouse incisor. *Cell Stem Cell* 14: 160–173.
3. James AW, JN Zara, X Zhang, A Askarinam, R Goyal, M Chiang, W Yuan, L Chang, M Corselli, J Shen, S Pang, D Stoker, B Wu, K Ting, B Peault and C Soo (2012). Perivascular Stem Cells: A Prospectively Purified Mesenchymal Stem Cell Population for Bone Tissue Engineering. *Stem Cells Translational Medicine* 1: 510–519.
4. Hindle P, N Khan, LC Biant and B Péault The infra-patellar fat pad as a source of perivascular stem cells with increased chondrogenic potential for regenerative medicine. *In Press*. *Stem Cells Translational Medicine*.
5. Park TS, M Gavina, C-W Chen, B Sun, P-N Teng, J Huard, BM Deasy, L Zimmerlin and B Péault (2011). Placental perivascular cells for human muscle regeneration. *Stem Cells Dev* 20: 451–463.
6. Montemurro T, G Andriolo, E Montelatici, G Weissmann, M Crisan, MR Colnaghi, P Rebull, F Mosca, B Péault and L Lazzari (2011). Differentiation and migration properties of human foetal umbilical cord perivascular cells: potential for lung repair. *J Cell Mol Med* 15: 796–808.
7. James AW, JN Zara, M Corselli, A Askarinam, AM Zhou, A Hourfar, A Nguyen, S Megerdichian, G Asatrian, S Pang, D Stoker, X Zhang, B Wu, K Ting, B Péault and C Soo (2012). An abundant perivascular source of stem cells for bone tissue engineering. *Stem Cells Translational Medicine* 1: 673–684.
8. Gerhardt H and C Betsholtz (2003). Endothelial-pericyte interactions in angiogenesis. *Cell Tissue Res* 314: 15–23.
9. Schwab KE and CE Gargett (2007). Co-expression of two perivascular cell markers isolates mesenchymal stem-like cells from human endometrium. *Hum Reprod* 22: 2903–2911.
10. Sah RL and A Ratcliffe (2010). Translational models for musculoskeletal tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev* 16: 1–3.
11. Ahern BJ, J Parvizi, R Boston and TP Schaer (2009). Preclinical animal models in single site cartilage defect testing: a systematic review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 17: 705–713.
12. Hurtig MB, MD Buschmann, LA Fortier, CD Hoemann, EB Hunziker, JS Jurvelin, P Mainil-Varlet, CW McIlwraith, RL Sah and RA Whiteside (2011). Preclinical Studies for Cartilage Repair: Recommendations from the International Cartilage

Repair Society. Cartilage 2: 137–152.

13. Jones CW, C Willers, A Keogh, D Smolinski, D Fick, PJ Yates, TB Kirk and MH Zheng (2008). Matrix-induced autologous chondrocyte implantation in sheep: objective assessments including confocal arthroscopy. J Orthop Res 26: 292–303.

14. Chu CR, M Szczodry and S Bruno (2010). Animal models for cartilage regeneration and repair. Tissue Eng Part B Rev 16: 105–115.

15. Marquass B, R Schulz, P Hepp, M Zscharnack, T Aigner, S Schmidt, F Stein, R Richter, G Osterhoff, G Aust, C Josten and A Bader (2011). Matrix-associated implantation of predifferentiated mesenchymal stem cells versus articular chondrocytes: in vivo results of cartilage repair after 1 year. Am J Sports Med 39: 1401–1412.

16. Aigner T, JL Cook, N Gerwin, SS Glasson, S Lavery, CB Little, W McIlwraith and VB Kraus (2010). Histopathology atlas of animal model systems - overview of guiding principles. Osteoarthr Cartil 18 Suppl 3: S2–S6.

17. Godoy RF, ALG Alves, AJ Gibson, EMM Lima and AE Goodship (2014). Do progenitor cells from different tissue have the same phenotype? Res Vet Sci 96: 454–459.

18. Redmer DA, V Doraiswamy, BJ Bortnem, K Fisher, A Jablonka-Shariff, AT Grazul-Bilska and LP Reynolds (2001). Evidence for a role of capillary pericytes in vascular growth of the developing ovine corpus luteum. Biol Reprod 65: 879–889.

19. Beckman JD, AT Grazul-Bilska, ML Johnson, LP Reynolds and DA Redmer (2006). Isolation and characterization of ovine luteal pericytes and effects of nitric oxide on pericyte expression of angiogenic factors. Endocrine 29: 467–476.

20. Allen MJ, JE Houlton, SB Adams and N Rushton (1998). The surgical anatomy of the stifle joint in sheep. Vet Surg 27: 596–605.

21. Proffen BL, M McElfresh, BC Fleming and MM Murray (2012). A comparative anatomical study of the human knee and six animal species. Knee 19: 493–499.

22. Heard BJ, NM Solbak, Y Achari, M Chung, DA Hart, NG Shrive and CB Frank (2013). Changes of early post-traumatic osteoarthritis in an ovine model of simulated ACL reconstruction are associated with transient acute post-injury synovial inflammation and tissue catabolism. Osteoarthr Cartil 21: 1942–1949.

23. Koff MF, P Shah, S Pownder, B Romero, R Williams, S Gilbert, S Maher, LA Fortier, SA Rodeo and HG Potter (2013). Correlation of meniscal T2* with multiphoton microscopy, and change of articular cartilage T2 in an ovine model of meniscal repair. Osteoarthr Cartil 21: 1083–1091.

24. Zur G, E Linder-Ganz, JJ Elsner, J Shani, O Brenner, G Agar, EB Hershman, SP Arnoczky, F Guilak and A Shterling (2011). Chondroprotective effects of a polycarbonate-urethane meniscal implant: histopathological results in a sheep model. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy : Official Journal of the ESSKA 19: 255–263.

25. Caplan AI and D Correa (2011). The MSC: an injury drugstore. *Cell Stem Cell* 9: 11–15.
26. Ude CC, BS Shamsul, MH Ng, HC Chen, MY Norhamdan, BS Aminuddin and BHI Ruszymah (2012). Bone marrow and adipose stem cells can be tracked with PKH26 until post staining passage 6 in in vitro and in vivo. *Tissue and Cell* 44: 156–163.
27. Chen J, F Wang, Y Zhang, X Jin, L Zhang, Y Feng, X Lin and L Yang (2012). In vivo tracking of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle labeled chondrocytes in large animal model. *Ann Biomed Eng* 40: 2568–2578.
28. Nakamura T, I Sekiya, T Muneta, D Hatsushika, M Horie, K Tsuji, T Kawarasaki, A Watanabe, S Hishikawa, Y Fujimoto, H Tanaka and E Kobayashi (2012). Arthroscopic, histological and MRI analyses of cartilage repair after a minimally invasive method of transplantation of allogeneic synovial mesenchymal stromal cells into cartilage defects in pigs. *Cytotherapy* 14: 327–338.
29. Miot S, R Gianni-Barrera, K Peltari, C Acharya, P Mainil-Varlet, H Juelke, C Jaquiere, C Candrian, A Barbero and I Martin (2010). In vitro and in vivo validation of human and goat chondrocyte labeling by green fluorescent protein lentivirus transduction. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods* 16: 11–21.
30. Corselli M, M Crisan, IR Murray, CC West, J Scholes, F Codrea, N Khan and B Péault (2013). Identification of perivascular mesenchymal stromal/stem cells by flow cytometry. *Cytometry A* 83: 714–720.
31. Porada CD, DD Harrison-Findik, C Sanada, V Valiente, D Thain, PJ Simmons, G Almeida-Porada and ED Zanjani (2008). Development and characterization of a novel CD34 monoclonal antibody that identifies sheep hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. *Experimental Hematology* 36: 1739–1749.
32. Pintado CO, J Perez de la Lastra and D Llanes (1995). A monoclonal antibody to an ovine gp130 molecule inhibits homotypic aggregation induced by anti-CD43 monoclonal antibodies of ruminant leukocytes. *Immunol Lett* 45: 81–85.
33. Zannettino ACW, S Paton, S Itescu and S Gronthos (2010). Comparative assessment of the osteoconductive properties of different biomaterials in vivo seeded with human or ovine mesenchymal stem/stromal cells. *Tissue Eng Part A* 16: 3579–3587.
34. Su X, W Zuo, Z Wu, J Chen, N Wu, P Ma, Z Xia, C Jiang, Z Ye, S Liu, J Liu, G Zhou, C Wan and G Qiu (2015). CD146 as a new marker for an increased chondroprogenitor cell sub-population in the later stages of osteoarthritis. *J Orthop Res* 33: 84–91.
35. Wu C-C, F-L Liu, H-K Sytwu, C-Y Tsai and D-M Chang (2016). CD146(+) mesenchymal stem cells display greater therapeutic potential than CD146(-) cells for treating collagen-induced arthritis in mice. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 7: 23.
36. Worthley DL, M Churchill, JT Compton, Y Taylor, M Rao, Y Si, D Levin, MG Schwartz, A Uygur, Y Hayakawa, S Gross, BW Renz, W Setlik, AN Martinez, X

[Chen, S Nizami, HG Lee, HP Kang, J-M Caldwell, S Asfaha, CB Westphalen, T Graham, G Jin, K Nagar, H Wang, MA Kheirbek, A Kolhe, J Carpenter, M Glaire, A Nair, S Renders, N Manieri, S Muthupalani, JG Fox, M Reichert, AS Giraud, RF Schwabe, J-P Pradere, K Walton, A Prakash, D Gumucio, AK Rustgi, TS Stappenbeck, RA Friedman, MD Gershon, P Sims, T Grikscheit, FY Lee, G Karsenty, S Mukherjee, et al. \(2015\). Gremlin 1 identifies a skeletal stem cell with bone, cartilage, and reticular stromal potential. Cell 160: 269–284.](#)

[37. Chan CKF, EY Seo, JY Chen, D Lo, A McArdle, R Sinha, R Tevlin, J Seita, J Vincent-Tompkins, T Wearda, W-J Lu, K Senarath-Yapa, MT Chung, O Marecic, M Tran, KS Yan, R Upton, GG Walmsley, AS Lee, D Sahoo, CJ Kuo, IL Weissman and MT Longaker \(2015\). Identification and specification of the mouse skeletal stem cell. Cell 160: 285–298.](#)

[38. Liu Y, XZ Shu and GD Prestwich \(2006\). Osteochondral defect repair with autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in an injectable, in situ, cross-linked synthetic extracellular matrix. Tissue Eng 12: 3405–3416.](#)

[39. Hindle P, AC Hall and LC Biant \(2014\). Viability of chondrocytes seeded onto a collagen I/III membrane for matrix-induced autologous chondrocyte implantation. J Orthop Res 32: 1495–1502.](#)

[40. Caplan AI and JE Dennis \(2006\). Mesenchymal stem cells as trophic mediators. J Cell Biochem 98: 1076–1084.](#)